Approved For Release 2005/11/23 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003400190014-4

1 9 MAY 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: D/DCI/NI

FROM:

Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

PRM-10 Force Posture Study from DoD

General Concept: I sensed inadequate attention in the draft paper
to the following areas:

- a. Possibility of conflict in the Middle East.
- b. Peacetime competition for the perception of men's minds.
- c. The peacetime competition in the areas of the world other than East Asia and Europe what may well be the areas of greatest competition in the years ahead since there is a general stalemate in East Asia and Western Europe. Whether or not military force is applicable to the possibility of expanding competition in the non-East Asia/Europe areas seems to deserve consideration.
- d. The impact of the nuclear balance on world perceptions.
- e. Conflict or competition in East Asia without corresponding competition/conflict in Western Europe. In other words,

we need to keep a military capability in the area.

f. Alternatives to military force to achieve some of our objectives - e.g., Persian Gulf Oil - don't we have alternatives of greater stockpiling and long-term attrition against the Soviet submarine/bomber forces which might attack that shipping rather than direct protection of it, e.g., dealing with major force asymmetries is not only a question of what strategic

25X6

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/11/23 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003400190014-4

forces we have, but what type they are, how we talk about them, etc., whereas substrategy (4) in Annex E only addresses this in terms of U.S. superiority.

- g. Our role in PRM-10, based on this paper: it seems to me we begin by separating the strategic issues from the conventional.
 - (1) Strategic don't we need to come up with a menu of what we anticipate Soviet strategic capabilities will be in the next decade with and without success itself, e.g., what will the Soviet hard-target complex look like and against which our strategic force posture must be gauged?
 - (2) Conventional.
 - (a) Europe don't we need a position on what Warsaw Pact capabilities are likely to be, against which the gradations in NATO capability can be gauged?
 - (b) East Asia don't we need to estimate what the potential threat to the Philippines, Korea, Okinawa and Japan are, based on a purely military assumption, and perhaps even the potential of the Soviets to attack the Chinese, or vice versa?
 - (c) Peacekeeping in local wars: Don't we need to make an estimate of what the Soviet capabilities are for extending their influence to the peacetime use of forces and/or for military intervention, in areas noncontiguous to the Soviet Union?

STANSFIELD TURNER

25X1

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/11/23: CIA-RDP80B01554R093400190014-4

1 9 MAY 1977

	13//	
MEMORANDUM FOR: D/DC	CI/NI	
FROM: Dire	ector of Central Intelligence	
on the strategic bala with a suggestion tha suggested in the memo	a Memo for the Record developed by cance. The Secretary of Defense gave it to me at we might want to pick up some of the work o. He most specifically was interested in our tof the bureaucracy of the Soviet Union on rams.	25X1
in the question of te	me like an excellent assessment and work package. Ok at it carefully. I am particularly interested echnological advantage and how the directions in ed to go will favor either us or the Soviets.	
Defense's understandi	npressed by the fact that talks in several act on the "public audience" of the Secretary of and statements in this area. I continue to be a role to play here, since we are obviously sed.	25X1
collectors. A study	ry interested in the third party perceptions y not be suitable for tasking to intelligence such as ARPA is reputed to be doing may be re that we couldn't help also.	
5. I'd apprecia and DDI's principal s	te your views and/or a discussion with your trategic analyst. STANSFIELD TURNER	25X1
cc DDI	1.00	
Enc.		